

REMARKS

The Examiner has rejected all pending claims under Section 112. The Examiner's attention is respectfully drawn to paragraph 50 which explains the terminology of the claims.

For example the Examiner asks (Office Action page 3, paragraph 6) what is meant by "testing the installation of a box", recited in the preamble. As is explained in paragraph 50, this term means finding out whether the box is able to reach the truck or the residential relay point by means of wireless communication. In any event the offending language has been deleted from the preamble.

Likewise the Examiner asks what "with respect to" means in connection with the box and the receiver, in the preamble. Here, too, the offending language has been deleted from the preamble.

Likewise the Examiner asks whether the first signal in the preamble is the same as the signal in the body of the claim. The claim has been amended to recite that the transmitted signal is a "wireless" signal. Likewise the disposition of the receiver, as set forth in the preamble, is that the receiver does a particular thing if and when it receives a wireless signal.

It is hoped that with these changes the claims may be allowed and the application passed to issue. If any questions remain, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Carl Oppedahl
PTO Reg. No. 32746
telephone 970 468 8600